Electrocardiographic proposal classification criteria for Left Bundle Branch Block

Dr. Andrés R. Pérez Riera

I- According to the degree (QRS duration) and pattern:

- 1. Criteria (most used in literature):
 - Incomplete Left Bundle Branch Block (ILBBB): QRS duration (QRSd) from 90 to 110 ms in adults, between 90 and 100 ms in children 8 to 16 years of age, and between 80 and 90 ms in children less than 8 years of age, presence of left ventricular hypertrophy pattern, R peak time > 60 ms in leads V4, V5, and V6 and absence of q wave in leads I, V5, and V6.()
 - 2. Complete Left Bundle Branch Block (CLBBB): QRSd ≥ 120 ms in adults, greater than 100 ms in children 4 to 16 years of age, and greater than 90 ms in children less than 4 years of age, broad notched or slurred R wave in leads I, aVL, V5, and V6 in at least and an occasional RS pattern in V5 and V6 2 contiguous leads attributed to displaced transition of QRS complex, absent q waves in leads I, V5, and V6, (in the lead aVL, a narrow q wave may be present in the absence of myocardial pathology), R-wave peak time (RWPT) ≥ 60 ms in leads V5 and V6 and concomitant normal RWPT in the right precordial leads V1, V2, and V3, when small initial r waves can be discerned in the above leads, ST and T waves usually opposite in direction to QRS, positive T wave in leads with upright QRS may be normal (positive concordance), Observation: depressed ST segment and/or negative T wave in leads with negative QRS (negative concordance) are abnormal (Sgarbossa 1996; Gunnarsson 2001)11,12.

The appearance of LBBB may change the mean QRS axis in the frontal plane to the right, to the left, or to a superior, in some cases in a ratedependent manner. (Swiryn 1980;46(1):53–8.; Childers 2000). Stricter o Strauss criteria for complete LBBB: QRS duration \geq 140 ms for men and \geq 130 ms for women, along with mid-QRS notching or slurring in \geq 2 contiguous leads. This new value are used for Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy (CRT) (Strauss 2011)

2, Mexican School Criteria ool (Sodi 1964):

 $> 1^{st}$ degree left bundle branch block;

> 2^{nd} degree left bundle branch block: 1^{st} degree & 2^{nd} degree correspond to incomplete LBBB;

➢ 3rd degree left bundle branch block, advanced (ALBBB or complete LBBB) CLBBB0.

 \blacktriangleright Complete LBBB by classical criteria: QRS duration \ge 120ms

Stricter criteria QRS duration \geq 140 ms (men) or 130 ms (women), QR or rS in leads V1 and V2, and mid-QRS notching or slurring in \geq 2 of leads V1, V2, V5, V6, I and aVL.

3. Spanish School criteria (Bayés de Luna 2007). Global left ventricular blocks:

a) Advanced Left Bundle Branch Block (ALBBB) or third degree (equivalent to CLBBB (QRS duration \geq 120 ms),

b) Non-advanced global left ventricular blocks:

• First degree LBBB (partial) corresponds to types I and II of Mexican school: isolated R in V6 with more or fewer slurring but QRS duration < 120 ms.

• Intermittent or second degree LBBB: corresponds to special type of ventricular aberrancy.

II- According to topography:

a) Predivisional or troncular LBBB (90%) QRSd = 120 to 160 ms Observation: The intermittent forms are nearly always pre-divisional

- Of the left His bundle;
- Of the truncus of the left bundle branch;

b) Fascicular or divisional: by unequal dromotropic involvement of divisions or fascicles of the left bundle branch (LBB): left anterior fascicle(LAF), left posterior fascicle(LPF) and left septal fascicle (LSF).

c) Parietal, global Purkinjian, diffuse intraventricular, intramyocardial or intramural (in the Purkinje-muscle union). Characterized by: wider QRS, clockwise rotation of the QRS loop in the horizontal plane of the vectorcardiogram. In general, they point out greater myocardial involvement.

III- According to steadiness:

- a) Permanent or definite: most of them.
- b) Intermittent, transient, episodic or of second degree LBBB that could be:

Rate-dependent intermittent LBBB (Arias 2006):

- - Tachycardia-dependent or in "phase 3";
- - Bradycardia-dependent or in "phase 4".

Independent from heart rate: mechanism Mobitz type I; Mobitz type II by Wenckebach phenomenon; and by significant hypopolarization. Etiologies (Bazoukis 2016): general, local, and epidural anesthesia; (Pratila 1978) acute pulmonary embolism (Kasmani 2009); during cardiac interventions; (Shimamoto 1998) mad honey poisoning; (Sayin 2012) acute pancreatitis; (Pezzilli 1999) drugs effect; coronary fistulas connecting main pulmonary artery with coronary arteries, (Juraschek 2011) chest contusion, (Pizzo 2005) cervical wound, (Ishikawa2014) Guillain-Barre syndrome, (Serrano Junior

1987) takotsubo cardiomyopathy, (Di Cori 2010) Graves-Basedow disease, (Lubczynska-Kowalska 1971) hemorrhagic stroke, (Martynov 2004) myocarditis in enteric fever, (Prabha1995) myocarditis along with acute ischemic cerebellar, pontine and lacunar infarction following viper bite, (Bhatt 2013) electroconvulsive therapy, (Adams 2014) endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography, (Kounis 2003) athletic heart syndrome, (Chapman1977) tachycardia induced cardiomyopathy, (Senoo2014) endoscopic brachytherapy for lung cancer, (Vasic 2009) and propionic aciduria. (Ardoin 2009)

IV- According to electrical axis of QRS complex in the Frontal Plane. See figure

a) With QRS axis not deviated: between -29° and +60° ($\approx 65\%$ to 70% of cases)

b) With QRS axis with extreme deviation to the left: beyond -30° : between -30° and -90° (**Parharidis 1997**) (\approx 25% of cases). The presence of left axis deviation had a 41.9% sensitivity and a 91.6% specificity for the presence of organic heart disease. Aortic valve disease in LBBB pts seems to be frequently accompanied by left axis deviation. In LBBB patients, those without left axis deviation seem to benefit more from cardiac resynchronization therapy with defibrillator (CRT-D) than those with left axis deviation (**Brenyo 2013**).

c) With QRS axis deviated to the right: between $+60^{\circ}$ and $+90^{\circ}$ (≈ 3.5 a 5% of cases)

d) With QRS axis with extreme deviation to the right: beyond +90° (< than 1% of cases). It is named "paradoxical type of Lepeschkin" (Lepeschkin 1951). The majority of subjects had dilated cardiomyopathy with biventricular enlargement (Childers 2000). The uncommon combination of LBBB and right axis deviation is a marker of severe myocardial disease, specially primary congestive cardiomyopathy. The mechanism of production of this ECG pattern appears to be diffuse conduction system involvement in advanced myocardial disease (Nikolic 1985). Causes that determine paradoxical complete LBBB:

• Complete LBBB associated to right ventricular hypertrophy/enlargement or severe cardiomyopathy with biventricular enlargement. or diffuse advanced myocardial disease.(3) >98% of cases.

• Fascicular Complete LBBB (LAFB + LPFB) with a higher degree of block in the postero-inferior division. In presence of AF LBBB with intermittent right axis deviation is explained by an

additional LPFB accompanying predivisional LBBB (Patenè 2008; 2012)

- LBBB in Wegener granulomatosis (Khurana 2000)
- Complete LBBB associated to lateral infarction (free wall of left ventricle)
- Complete LBBB with accidental exchange of limb electrodes

Complete LBBB associated with true dextrocardia (Salazar 1978)

Types of CLBBB according to electrical axis of QRS complex in the Frontal Plane

- I. With QRS axis not deviated: between -30° and $+60^{\circ}$ ($\approx 65\%$ to 70% of cases)
- II. With QRS axis with extreme deviation to the left: beyond -30° ($\approx 25\%$ of cases)
- III. With QRS axis deviated to the right: between $+60^{\circ}$ and $+90^{\circ}$ ($\approx 3.5 \text{ a } 5\%$ of cases)
- IV. With QRS axis with extreme deviation to the right: beyond +90° (< than 1% of cases). It is named "paradoxical type of Lepeschkin" (Lepeschkin 1951). Causes that determine paradoxical complete LBBB:
- V. Some congenital heart disease (extremely rare).

References

1. Adams DA, Kellner CH, Aloysi AS, et al. Case report: Transient left bundle branch block associated with ECT. Int J Psychiatry Med 2014;48(2):147–153-7.

2. Ardoin KB, Moodie DS, Snyder CS. Rate-dependent left bundle-branch block in a child with propionic aciduria. Ochsner J 2009;9(2):65–67.

3. Arias MA, Sánchez AM, López JM.Repetitive intermittent left bundle branch block. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol. 2006;29(11):1306-9.

4. Bazoukis G, Tsimos K, Korantzopoulos P. Episodic Left Bundle Branch Block—A Comprehensive Review of the Literature. Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol. 2016;21(2):117-25.

5. Bayés de Luna A. Basic Electrocardiography: Normal and Abnormal ECG Patterns. Blackwell Publishing Limited; 2007.

6. Bhatt A, Menon AA, Bhat R, Ramamoorthi K. Myocarditis along with acute ischaemic cerebellar, pontine and lacunar infarction following viper bite. BMJ Case Rep 2013;2013.pii:bcr201300336.

7. Brenyo A, Rao M, Barsheshet A, Cannom D, Quesada A, McNitt S, Huang DT, Moss AJ, Zareba W.QRS axis and the benefit of cardiac resynchronization therapy in patients with mildly symptomatic heart failure enrolled in MADIT-CRT.J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 2013;24(4):442-8.

8. Chapman JH. Intermittent left bundle branch block in the athletic heart syndrome. Chest 1977;71(6):776–9.

9. Childers R, Lupovich S, Sochanski M, Konarzewska H. Left bundle branch block and right axis deviation: a report of 36 cases. J Electrocardiol. 2000; 33(suppl):93–102.

10. Di Cori A, Gemignani C, Lazzari M, et al. New-onset left bundle branch block as an early electrocardiographic feature of takotsubo cardiomyopathy].G Ital Cardiol (Rome). 2010;11(5):442-5.

11. Gunnarsson G, Eriksson P, Dellborg M. ECG criteria in diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction in the presence of left bundle branch block. Int J Cardiol. 2001;78(2):167–72.

12. Ishikawa K, Ohsaka H, Omori K, Yanagawa Y. A case of transient left bundle branch block after a cervical wound. J Emerg, Trauma, Shock 2014;7(3):247–8.

13. Juraschek SP, Kovell LC, Childers RE, Chow GV, Hirsch GA. Heart failure with transient left bundle branch block in the setting of left coronary fistula. Cardiol Res Pract 2011;2011:786287.

14. Kasmani R, Okoli K, Mohan G, Casey K, Ledrick D. Transient left bundle branch block: An unusual electrocardiogram in acute pulmonary embolism. Am J Med Sci 2009;337(5):381–2.

15. Kounis NG, Zavras GM, Papadaki PJ, et al. Electrocardiographic changes in elderly patients during endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. Can J Gastroenterol 2003;17(9):539–44.

16. Khurana C, Mazzone P, Mandell B.New onset left bundle branch block with right axis deviation in a patient with Wegener's granulomatosis. J Electrocardiol. 2000;33(2):199-201.

17. Lepeschkin E. Modern Electrocardiopgraphy: vol. 1. The P-Q-R-S-T-U complex (Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, 1951).

18. Lubczynska-Kowalska W, Zagrobelny Z, Bielicki F, Budzynska A, Burdzinska-Golowin J. Transient left bundle-branch block in Graves-Basedow disease in a child. Pol Tyg Lek 1971;26(46):1781-3.

19. Martynov Iu S, Krishna Kumar O, Shuvakhina NA, et al. Cerebro-cardial disorders in hemorrhagic stroke. Ter Arkh 2004;76(2):44–9.

20. Nikolic G, Marriott HJ. Left bundle branch block with right axis deviation: a marker of congestive cardiomyopathy. J Electrocardiol. 1985;18(4):395-404.

21. Parharidis G, Nouskas J, Efthimiadis G, et al.Complete left bundle branch block with left QRS axis deviation: defining its clinical importance. Acta Cardiol. 1997;52(3):295-303.

22. Patanè S, Marte F, Di Bella G. Atrial fibrillation with left bundle branch block and intermittent right axis deviation during acute myocardial infarction. Int J Cardiol. 2008;127(1):e1-2.

23. Patanè S, Marte F, Dattilo G, et al. Acute myocardial infarction and left bundle branch block with changing axis deviation. Int J Cardiol. 2012;154(3):e47-9.

24. Pezzilli R, Barakat B, Billi P, Bertaccini B. Electrocardiographic abnormalities in acute pancreatitis. Eur J Emerg Med 1999;6(1):27–9.

25. Pizzo VR, Beer I, de Cleva R, Zilberstein B. Intermittent left bundle branch block (LBBB) as a clinical manifestation of myocardial contusion after blunt chest trauma. Emerg Med J 2005;22(4):300–1.

26. Prabha A, Mohanan, Pereira P, Raghuveer CV.Myocarditis in enteric feverIndian J Med Sci. 1995;49(2):28-31.

27. Pratila MG, Pratilas V, Dimich I. Transient leftbundle-branch block during anesthesia. Anesthesiology 1979;51(5):461–3.

28. Sayin MR, Karabag T, Dogan SM, Akpinar I, Aydin M. Transient ST segment elevation and left bundle branch block caused by mad-honey poisoning. Wien Klin Wochenschr 2012;124(7-8):278–81.

29. Senoo K, Otsuka T, Suzuki S, Sagara K, Yamashita T. Impact of pulmonary vein isolation on left bundle branch block following tachycardia-induced cardiomyopathy in a patient with persistent atrial fibrillation. Intern Med 2014;53(7):721–4.

30. Serrano Junior CV, De Cleva R, Mancini MC, Tranchesi Junior B, Scaff M, Ramires JA. Intermittent left branch block as a complication in Guillain-Barre syndrome. Report of a case. Arquivos Brasileiros de Cardiologia 1987;49(5):299–301.

31. Sgarbossa EB, Pinski SL, Barbagelata A, et al; GUSTO-1 (Global Utilization of Streptokinase and Tissue Plasminogen Activator for Occluded Coronary Arteries) Investigators. Electrocardiographic diagnosis of evolving acute myocardial infarction in the presence of left bundle-branch block published correction appears in N Engl J Med. 1996;334: 931.N Engl J Med. 1996;334(8):481–7.

32. Shimamoto T, Nakata Y, Sumiyoshi M, et al. Transient left bundle branch block induced by left-sided cardiac catheterization in patients without pre-existing conduction abnormalities. Jpn Circ J 1998;62(2):146–9.

33. Strauss DG, Selvester RH, Wagner GS.Defining left bundle branch block in the era of cardiac resynchronization therapy. Am J Cardiol. 2011;107(6):927-34.

34. Surawicz B, Childers R, Deal BJ, et al. AHA/ACCF/HRS recommendations for the standardization and interpretation of the electrocardiogram: part III: intraventricular conduction disturbances: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Electrocardiography and Arrhythmias Committee, Council on Clinical Cardiology; the American College of Cardiology Foundation; and the Heart Rhythm Society: endorsed by the International Society for Computerized Electrocardiology. Circulation. 2009;119(10):

e235-40.

35. Swiryn S, Abben R, Denes P, Rosen KM. Electrocardiographic determinants of axis during left bundle branch block: study in patients with intermittent left bundle branch block. Am J Cardiol. 1980; 46(1):53–8.

36. Vasic N, Stevic R, Pesut D, Jovanovic D. Acute left bundle branch block as a complication of brachytherapy for lung cancer. Respir Med 2011;105(Suppl 1):S78–S80.

37. Salazar J, Lej FA. Electrocardiographic changes following surgical repair of ostium primum defect. Acta Cardiol. 1978; 33(1): 55-61.